No. 07-1-00508-1

OMNIBUS APPLICATION

THOMAS R. FALLQUIST SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

1

2

10

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF WASHINGTON, COUNTY OF SPOKANE STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAYCE L. PIRTLE,

Defendant.

TO: THE STATE OF WASHINGTON: AND

TO: EUGENE CRUZ, DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY:

JAYCE PIRTLE, by and through his attorney, JOHN P. NOLLETTE, hereby makes demand for the following Discovery:

- 1) Produce the names, addresses, and phone numbers of all persons the prosecutor intends to call as witnesses at the time of hearing or trial, together with copies of any notes, written or recorded statements, and the substance of any oral statements made by any of those witnesses or by any third parties communicated to those witnesses bearing on any issue in this case;
- 2) Produce any statements, written or recorded, and the substance of

### JOHN P. NOLLETTE ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

1408 W. Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

- 3) Produce any reports or statements, oral or written, by any witness, including expert witnesses, regarding the results of any scientific, chemical, physical, or mental examination, comparison, or tests performed in connection with this case;
- 4) Produce any books, papers, documents, photographs, or tangible objects which the State intends to use at trial or which were are alleged to have been obtained from or to have belonged to defendant, together with a synopsis of the alleged relevance of each item connection to the crime charged;
- 5) Produce all records relating to defendant's prior criminal convictions;
- 6) Produce any evidence of an exculpatory nature pursuant to <a href="Brady v. Maryland">Brady v. Maryland</a>, 373 U.S. 93 (1963), or relevant to <a href="impeachment pursuant to United States v. Bagley">impeachment pursuant to United States v. Bagley</a>, 473 U.S. 667 (1985);
- 7) Produce all information and documents pertaining to any searches of defendant, his vehicles, storage units or residence and items or fruits seized as a result of the

### JOHN P. NOLLETTE ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1408 W. Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

- 8) Produce all evidence relating to any electronic surveillance, including wiretapping, of defendant's premises or conversations to which defendant was a participant;
- 9) Disclose all evidence in the State's possession that may be favorable to defendant on the issue of guilt or mitigation as to degree;
- 10) Identify: (a) if there was an informant involved; (b) whether the informant will be called as a witness at trial; and (c) the informant's name and address;
- 11) Produce the criminal record of any witness who the State may call at trial;
- 12) Identify whether any expert witness will be called by the State to testify at trial, and if so, to supply (a) the name of the expert witness; (b) his qualifications and the subject of his testimony; and (c) a copy of any report that the witness has prepared for trial;
- 13) Disclose the nature and substance of any agreement, immunity, promise or understanding between any potential

### JOHN P. NOLLETTE ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1408 W. Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

witness, informant or cooperating individual and the State or any of its agents;

- 14) Disclose the nature and substance of any preferential treatment given at any time by the State or any of its agents to any potential witness, informant or cooperating individual;
- 15) Disclose whether any money, compensation or other tangible benefit has been paid or promised to any potential witness, informant or cooperating individual by the State or any of its agents;
- 16) Disclose any information regarding the mental condition of any potential witness, informant or cooperating individual that would reflect upon or bring into question the witness' credibility, including the results of any polygraph tests;
- 17) Identify all statements, amendments to statements or retracted statements of any individuals who have given statements incriminating defendant and later retracted all or a portion of those statements;

## JOHN P. NOLLETTE

ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1408 W. Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

- 18) Produce all interview notes, transcripts, investigative memoranda or reports that contain any information that might fairly be said to contradict or be inconsistent with any evidence that the State intends to introduce at trial;
- 19) Produce the statement of any individual who has given a description of a person alleged by the State to be defendant which does not match or is inconsistent with defendant's actual height, weight, body build, complexion, hair color, eye color or other distinguishing physical traits;
- 20) Identify the name and address of any individual who has been asked to make an identification of defendant in connection with this case, but has failed to do so; and
- 21) Identify the results of any examinations, tests, experiments or analyses done on any person or item of physical evidence in connection with this case. And to identify all subpoenas issued to third parties based on the decision in <a href="State v. White">State v. White</a> (Div. I 02.28.2005)

# B. Written Response Requested.

Defendant requests a written response from the State

#### JOHN P. NOLLETTE

ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1408 W. Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

of Washington to each of these discovery requests.

The United States Supreme Court has made clear that requests for information pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 US 83 (1963, are favored and has declared: "When the prosecutor receives a specific and relevant request, the failure to make any response seldom, if ever, excusable."

<u>U.S.v. Bagley</u>, 473 US 667 (1985).

# C. <u>Certification of Inquiry</u>.

Defendant further requests that the State certify in its responses that it has complied with its obligations under <a href="Kyles v. Whitley">Kyles v. Whitley</a>, 514 US 419 (1995), and specifically sought the requested information from all law enforcement organizations involved in this case.

# D. Objections to Production.

If the State objects to producing any information or documents requested in this pleading, defendant requests that the State: (a) identify with particularity the basis for its objection; and (b) produce the information or documents to the court for *in camera* inspection and determination of the

JOHN P. NOLLETTE ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1408 W: Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

validity of the objection.

1 1

(509) 701-0566 Fax (509) 324-9029

# E. Continuing Request.

This demand is intended to be continuing in nature and the State is requested to provide, within a reasonable time, any of the above requested materials which come into its possession, or the possession of its agents, subsequent to the receipt of this demand.

Respectfully Submitted on this  $21^{\rm st}$  day of March, 2007.

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. NOLLETTE

WSBA #5474

JOHN P. NOILETTE #5474

Attorney for Defendant SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

JOHN P. NOLLETTE

ATTORNEY-AT-LAW 1408 W. Broadway Spokane, WA 99201

JUL 3 0 2007

THOMAS R. FALLQUIST SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE

STATE OF WASHING	TON	)		
	Plaintiff,	)	No.	07-1-00508-1
V.		)	PA#	07-9-26921-0
JAYCE L. PIRTLE WM 05/19/88	Defendant(s).	) ) ) )	MOTION FOR BENCH WARRANT (MTFBW)	

Comes Now Steven J. Tucker, Prosecuting Attorney in and for Spokane County, Washington, by his deputy, EUGENE M. CRUZ, and respectfully moves that the court make and enter an order directing the clerk of the above-entitled court to issue a bench warrant for the immediate apprehension of JAYCE L. PIRTLE, the defendant above-named.

This motion is based upon the records and files herein, and upon the certificate of EUGENE M. CRUZ, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney.

EUGENE M. CRUZ states that he is a Deputy Prosecuting Attorney in and for Spokane County, Washington, and as such is in charge of the above matter; That the defendant violated the terms and conditions of the release granted him pending trial for the crime(s) of CT I: KIDNAPING IN THE FIRST DEGREE-DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, CT II: HARASSMENT- DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, CT III: SECOND DEGREE ASSAULT-DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, CT IV: SECOND DEGREE ASSAULT, and CT V: UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT-DOMESTIC VIOLENCE by failing to comply with his release conditions by committing a new offense on July 20, 2007, therefore, it is submitted that a Superior Court Bench Warrant should be issued for his immediate apprehension.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

673007 (Date) Spokane, WA (Place)

(Signature)