1	Robert L. Furst	
2	Peter B. Dolan	
	Eirik J. Cheverud	
3	Marc Sarata	
4	Office of the Solicitor	
5	U. S. Department of Labor	
ا ر	P.O. Box 1914	
6	Washington, D.C. 20013	
7	Tel: (202) 693-5612	
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DIST	TRICT OF WASHINGTON
10		
	EDWARD C. HUGLER, Acting	
11	Secretary of Labor, United States	
12	Department of Labor,	
13	Plaintiff	
14		Civil Action No
15	V.	
		COMPLAINT
16	JAMES DEWALT;	
17	ROBERT G. BAKIE;	Filed by Edward C. Hugler,
	JACK L. FALLIS; JR.;	Acting Secretary of Labor
18	JEFFREY A. BARTON;	United States Dept. of Labor
19	ASSOCIATED INDUSTRIES	
20	MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.;	
20	THE ASSOCIATED INDUSTRIES OF	
21	THE INLAND NORTHWEST; AND	
22	THE ASSOCIATED EMPLOYERS	
	HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST,	
23		
24		
25	Defendants.	
ري		
26		
27		
20	<u>COMPLAINT FOR E</u>	ERISA VIOLATIONS
28	I	

Edward C. Hugler, Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor (the "Secretary"), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Secretary brings this action under the Employee Retirement
 Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1001 et seq.,
 to remedy breaches of Defendants' ERISA fiduciary duties committed in the course
 of their management of the Associated Employers Health and Welfare Trust
 ("AET" or the "Trust") and the ERISA-covered employee benefit plans that
 participate in the Trust. Approximately three hundred small employers and their
 employees contributed to the Trust to fund their employee health and welfare
 benefit plans (the "Plans") and pay administrative costs for the Plans. AET's
 trustees (the "Trustees") received those contributions, which were held in trust for
 the Plans, and spent those funds to purchase insurance and pay administrative costs
 for the Plans.
- 2. Defendant Trustees caused the Trust to retain and pay ever-increasing administrative fee rates to a related, for-profit corporation, Defendant Associated Industries Management Services, Inc. ("AIMS"), for administrative services for the Plans. Two of the Trustees were officers and employees of AIMS—Defendant James DeWalt was the President, CEO, and a director of AIMS and Defendant Bakie was AIMS's CFO. As a result of Defendants' actions, the Trust paid AIMS

millions of dollars of additional fees, which were largely taken from financial reserves held by the Trust, without disclosing to the employers or employees that AIMS's fees had been increased or that money to pay for the increased fees was being taken out the Trust's reserve funds. The Trustees' conduct constituted prohibited self-dealing with the Plans' assets and violated their ERISA fiduciary duties of loyalty, prudence, and fidelity to the participants and beneficiaries of the Plans.

- 3. Despite Defendants DeWalt's and Bakie's conflict of interest, the Defendant Trustees repeatedly paid and increased AIMS's fee rates without: researching other firms' fee rates, seeking competing bids, seeking a consultant's evaluation of the reasonableness of the increased fees for AIMS's services, or taking any efforts to determine if AIMS's services could have been provided by another firm for less. Instead, the Trustees increased AIMS's fee rates even as the Trust saw a sharp decrease in the number of employers and participants serviced by AIMS.
- 4. At all times relevant to this action, AIMS's fees were calculated as a percentage of the insurance premiums paid through the Trust. When the number of participating employers ("Participating Employers") in the Trust fell, the total insurance premiums paid through the Trust fell as well. In response, the Trustees raised AIMS's fee percentage (on Trust-paid premiums) from 2.0% to 3.5%, then

5.

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

6.

from 3.5% to 4.0% (applied retroactively), and then from 4.0% to 7.0 percent (applied retroactively). The Trustees did so for the purpose of maintaining or increasing Defendant AIMS's total revenue from the Plans, which supported AIMS's payment of DeWalt's and Bakie's salaries. The Trustees did this in the face of the falling total premiums and despite the fact that AIMS was providing services to fewer Plans and fewer participants.

The Secretary also brings this action against Defendant Associated Industries of the Inland Northwest (the "Association"), which established the Trust. Defendant Trustee DeWalt was the President and CEO of the Association as well as the President and CEO of AIMS, which was wholly owned by the Association. The Association appointed Defendants DeWalt and Barton to be Trustees and had authority to remove the Trustees. Accordingly, the Association had an ERISA fiduciary duty to loyally and prudently monitor the Trustees' performance. The Secretary alleges that the Association violated that duty and thereby enabled the Trustees to breach their fiduciary duties. Further, because AIMS knowingly participated in the Trustees' fiduciary breaches, the Secretary also brings this action to hold Defendant AIMS liable under ERISA and for disgorgement, to the Trust, of the fees that AIMS received as a result of those fiduciary breaches.

To remedy these alleged ERISA violations, the Secretary seeks a judgment requiring the Defendant Trustees and the Defendant Association to Complaint - 4

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

restore the Plans' losses resulting from their breaches of fiduciary duty. The Secretary seeks an injunction to bar the Defendant Trustees from acting as fiduciaries to ERISA-covered plans. Plaintiff Secretary also seeks appointment of an independent fiduciary to hire and determine the compensation for the Trust's service providers, and an accounting of the Trust's assets.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 7. ERISA section 502(e)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1).
- Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to ERISA section 502(e)(2), 29 8. U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2).

PARTIES

- 9. The Secretary, pursuant to ERISA section 502(a)(2) and (5), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(2) and (5), has authority to enforce the provisions of Title I of ERISA by filing and prosecuting claims against persons who violate the provisions of ERISA and the regulations promulgated thereunder and against persons who knowingly participate in those violations.
- 10. Defendant James DeWalt is a natural person residing in Spokane, Washington. At all relevant times, DeWalt was a Trustee of the Trust; the President and CEO of the Association; and the President, CEO, and a director of AIMS.

- 11. Defendant Robert G. Bakie is a natural person residing in Spokane,
 Washington. At all relevant times prior to March 2013, Bakie was a Trustee of the
 Trust and the CFO of AIMS.
- 12. Defendant Jack L. Fallis, Jr. is a natural person residing in Spokane, Washington. At all relevant times, Fallis was a Trustee of the Trust.
- 13. Defendant Jeffrey A. Barton is a natural person residing in Spokane, Washington. At all relevant times, Barton was a Trustee of the Trust.
- 14. Defendant Associated Industries of the Inland Northwest (the "Association") is a non-profit corporation, organized under the laws of the State of Washington, with its principal place of business located at 1206 North Lincoln Street, Suite 200, Spokane, Washington. The Association is the sole owner of AIMS.
- 15. Defendant Associated Industries Management Services, Inc.

 ("AIMS") is a for-profit corporation, organized under the laws of the State of Washington, with its principal place of business located at 1206 North Lincoln Street, Suite 200, Spokane, Washington. At all relevant times, AIMS provided administrative services for the Plans, received payment for its services from the Trust, and was wholly owned by the Association.
- 16. Defendant Associated Employers Health and Welfare Trust ("AET" or the "Trust") is a trust established by the Association. The Trust received employer Complaint 6

20 21

22

19

23 24

26 27

25

28

and any employee contributions, held those funds in trust, and used those funds to pay insurance premiums and administrative services for the Plans. Under Fed. R .Civ. P. 19(a)(1)(A), plaintiff Secretary joins the Trust as a party solely to permit the Court to order complete relief in this action.

Each of the foregoing parties, except the Trust, have signed an 17. agreement in which each Defendant waived any defenses based on the statute of limitations contained in ERISA section 413, 29 U.S.C. § 1113, and any other timeliness defense for the period beginning May 27, 2016, and running through and including February 28, 2017, and in which each party agreed to toll the running of that statute of limitations or any other timeliness defense during that period. In addition, as described below, by concealing the increases in AIMS's fees and by taking money from the Trust's reserves to pay for those increases, without telling the Participating Employers or their employees that it was doing so, the Defendants engaged in fraud or concealment under ERISA section 413, 29 U.S.C. § 1113, which states, in pertinent part: "[I]n the case of fraud or concealment, [an ERISA breach of fiduciary duty] action may be commenced not later than six years after the date of discovery of such breach or violation."

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

The Association, Participating Employers, and the Trust

- 18. The Association is a non-profit corporation with approximately three hundred dues-paying employer members. The Association represents itself as providing various services to its employer members, including employee healthcare benefits administration services. In order to become a Participating Employer in the Trust, an employer must be an employer member of the Association and be accepted by the Trustees.
- 19. The Participating Employers provided medical and other health and welfare benefits to their employees through the Plans. Those benefits were insured by insurance policies purchased by the Participating Employers. Under the terms of these insurance policies and through procedures adopted by these Participating Employers, the employees submitted claims for the insured benefits to the insurers and received payments on allowed claims.
- 20. Each year, each Participating Employer signed an application for premium rates and other terms of the insurance policies for the employee benefits to be offered by that Participating Employer for the coming year. The insurer's acceptance of the Participating Employer's application for those terms created the insurance policy for those employee benefits. By signing the application, the

Q

Complaint - 9

Participating Employer expressly adopted the document that governed the Trust (the "Trust Agreement").

- 21. Through the actions in paragraphs 19 and 20 above, each Participating Employer established or maintained an employee welfare benefit plan as defined in ERISA section 3(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1), which was also an employee benefit plan as defined in ERISA section 3(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3). Each plan is covered by ERISA pursuant to ERISA section 4(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1003(a). This complaint refers to all of these plans collectively as the "Plans." Collectively, the Plans were a "multiple employer welfare arrangement" ("MEWA") as ERISA section 3(40), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(40), defines that term.
- 22. The Trust Agreement defined "employee welfare benefit plan" as any lawful employee benefit plan created and administered by the Trustees. Trust Agreement Art. II § 3. The Trust Agreement identified the Association as the "Plan Sponsor" for certain employee welfare benefit plans administered by the Trust. Id. at Art. III § 3. The Trust further identified itself, or the Plans administered through the Trust, as the ERISA-covered "employee benefit welfare plans" for the Participating Employers' employees and their beneficiaries. Id. at Art. VII § 3. Accordingly, the Secretary also pleads, in the alternative, that the Trust or the employee welfare benefit Plans it says it administered were the ERISA-covered plan or plans of employer members.

Trust Governance and the Trustees

- 23. The Trust Agreement provided that the Trust was to be administered by a six-member Board of Trustees. <u>Id.</u> at Art. III § 1. The Trust Agreement identified the Trustees as "named fiduciaries," "fiduciaries," and "plan administrator" as those terms are used in ERISA. Id. at Art. III § 2.
- 24. The Trust Agreement prohibited any action by the Trustees at a meeting without a quorum of at least four (4) trustees. <u>Id.</u> at Art. IV §§ 1-2. The Trust Agreement also provided that "[n]o vacancy in the position of Trustee shall impair the power of the remaining Trustees to administer the affairs of the Trust so long as a quorum exists" <u>Id.</u> at Art. III § 15. Actions taken without a meeting required unanimous approval of the Trustees, with no fewer Trustees than required to form a quorum. <u>Id.</u> at Art. IV § 7.
- 25. The Trust Agreement provided that "at least two (2) of the Trustees [of the six-member Board of Trustees] shall be appointed by the Association." Id. § III.1. It also provided that the appointment of a Trustee could be terminated at any time by the Association. Id. § III.10. Because the Association had and exercised discretionary authority to appoint and remove Trustees of the Trust, the Association was a fiduciary of the Plans as defined in ERISA section 3(21)(A)(i) and (iii), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A)(i) and (iii), to the extent of its powers of

appointment and removal, and a party in interest as defined in ERISA section 3(14)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(A).

Trust Contributions and Use

- 26. The Association established the Trust to receive financial contributions from the Participating Employers and their employees to pay for the employee benefits.
- 27. The Trustees' powers included the power of "expenditure of Trust monies . . . necessary to carry out the purpose of this Trust Agreement." Trust Agreement at Art. VII § 1. The Trust Agreement also authorized the Trustees "to retain, at the expense of the Trust, . . . professional and non-professional help, as they may deem necessary in the administration of the Trust Fund and the benefit plans," <u>id.</u> at Art. VII § 11, including administrative agents to assist the Trustees in the day-to-day administration of the Trust, <u>id.</u> at Art. VII § 9.
- 28. The Trust Agreement provided that the contributions of Participating Employers and employees to the Trust shall be the amounts determined by the Trustees to be required for the payment of benefits and the reasonable expenses of administering the Plans. Id. at Art. II § 4. The Trust Agreement granted the Trustees authority to receive contributions to establish and maintain a reasonable reserve for future contingencies in the Trust Fund and the benefit plans. Id. at Art. VIII § 1. The Trust Agreement provided for three types of contributions from

Participating Employers: (a) an initial contribution in the amount determined by the Trustees in accordance with the insurance coverage to be provided; (b) additional monthly contributions in such amounts as the Trustees from time to time determine, and (c) "such further contributions as may be requested from time to time by the Trustees in order to maintain a reserve for each Participating Employer not to exceed the cost of two (2) months coverage for the participating employees of such employer." Id.

Trustees

- 29. The Association appointed DeWalt as a Trustee in 2004. DeWalt has been a Trustee at all times from 2004 to the present. Continuously from 2004 through the present, DeWalt has been the Association's President and CEO, in addition to being the President and CEO of AIMS.
- 30. Bakie was a Trustee from 1989 through March 2013. During at least 2009 through March 2013, in addition to being a Trustee, Bakie was the CFO of AIMS.
 - 31. Fallis was a Trustee from 2001 to September 10, 2014.
- 32. Barton was a Trustee from 2011 to 2013. Barton was appointed as a Trustee by the Association, acting through DeWalt.
- 33. While serving as Trustees during relevant times between 2009 and 2014, DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton each exercised discretionary authority or Complaint 12

discretionary control respecting management of the Plans and exercised authority

2223

21

2425

2627

28

or control respecting management or disposition of the Plans' assets, including but not limited to: (1) determining the amount of Participating Employers' contributions to the Trust; (2) authorizing the Trust's payment of insurance premiums for the Plans; (3) selecting service providers for the Plans, including AIMS; (4) determining the amounts that the Trust paid to AIMS for administrative services; (5) investing the Plans' assets held in the Trust; (6) determining that the Trust obtained contributions for and maintained reserves for future contingencies; and (7) determining how Trust assets should be used to pay for the Plans' benefits and expenses, including, for example, using the Trust's reserve funds to pay for increased fees to AIMS that were not included in monthly billings to employers. Therefore, DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton were fiduciaries of the Plans, within the meaning of ERISA sections 3(21)(i) and (iii), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(21)(i) and (iii), and were parties in interest to the Plans, as defined in ERISA section 3(14)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(A).

AIMS's Relationship to the Association, the Trust, the Trustees, and the Plans

34. Starting in 1992 and at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Trust retained AIMS to perform certain administrative services relating to the Plans. By providing these administrative services to the Plans, AIMS was a party in interest,

as defined in ERISA section 3(14)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(B), in relation to the Plans.

- 35. In addition to being a Trustee and the President and CEO of the Association, DeWalt was an employee and the President of AIMS. DeWalt thereby was a party in interest, as defined in ERISA section 3(14)(H), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(H), of the Plans.
- 36. At times relevant to this Complaint, in addition to being a Trustee and chief financial officer of the Association, Bakie was an employee and the chief financial officer of AIMS. Bakie thereby was a party in interest of the Plans, as defined in ERISA section 3(14)(H), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(14)(H).
- 37. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Association was the sole owner of AIMS. The Association had no employees and AIMS employees performed most of the Association's work.

ERISA VIOLATIONS

The Trustees' Payment of Fees to AIMS

38. In its original agreement with AIMS in 1992, the Trust agreed to pay AIMS a fee based upon a specific dollar amount per employee per month for administrative services. By 2009, however, the Trust's fee agreement with AIMS changed to a fee calculated as a percentage of the total insurance premiums paid through the Trust. In 2009, AIMS's fee was 2% of premiums. The monthly bills

Complaint - 15

sent to each Participating Employer for its total contribution to the Trust included the 2% fee for AIMS, but it was not separately itemized.

First Fee Increase

- 39. By signing a motion to accept a fee increase, dated December 31, 2009, Trustees DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis purported to raise AIMS's fees from 2% of premiums to 3.5% of premiums. Thereafter, the bills sent to Participating Employers continued to include a 2% fee for AIMS. The Trustees caused the Trust to pay the fee increase (1.5% of premiums) to AIMS via lump sum payments at various times from the Trust's reserves, commencing June 28, 2010.
- 40. As employees and officers of AIMS and as officers of the Association, DeWalt and Bakie had an interest in the amount of fees AIMS received from the Trust because AIMS employed DeWalt and Bakie and paid their compensation. In 2009, fees received from the Trust were approximately 49% of AIMS's total revenues. In 2010, fees from the Trust were approximately 40% of AIMS's total revenues. By retaining and paying AIMS, and acting to increase AIMS's fees from 2% to 3.5% of premiums and causing AIMS to be paid based on the increased fee percentage, DeWalt and Bakie dealt with the assets of the Plans in their own interest.
- 41. By retaining and paying AIMS, acting to increase AIMS's fees from 2% to 3.5% of premiums, and causing AIMS to be paid based on the increased fee

percentage, DeWalt and Bakie acted in transactions involving the Plans on behalf of a party (AIMS) whose interest was adverse to the interests of the Plans and their participants and beneficiaries, whose interest was having the Trust pay lower fees for AIMS's services.

- 42. DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis caused the increase of AIMS's fees from 2% to 3.5% in violation of the Trust Agreement because the requisite number of Trustees did not approve the increase. DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis therefore failed to act in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the Plans, and they caused AIMS to receive payments based upon a fee increase that violated the Trust Agreement's provisions.
- 43. DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis retained and paid AIMS, increased AIMS's fees from 2% to 3.5%, and caused AIMS to be paid based on the higher fee percentage, without: engaging in any investigation to determine whether the increased fee percentage or the amounts to be paid to AIMS were reasonable; engaging in any investigation to determine whether there were other providers who could provide the services at a lower cost; issuing a RFP or engaging in any bid process for the services AIMS provided; seeking advice from a consultant or other expert about the reasonableness of AIMS's fees or alternative providers who could provide the services at a lower cost to the Trust.

Following the increase in AIMS's fees to 3.5% of premiums, the

44.

monthly bills sent to Participating Employers for their contributions continued to include only a 2% fee for AIMS. To pay AIMS the increased 3.5% fee, Trustees DeWalt and Bakie caused money to be taken from the Plans' reserve funds in the Trust, which were amounts employers and employees had contributed to maintain a fund for "future contingencies," not to pay AIMS the fees that were in excess of the 2% included in their monthly contributions. Fallis knew that DeWalt and Bakie caused the money to be taken from the Trust's reserve funds, and he did not make reasonable efforts to prevent it.

45. The Trustees did not disclose to Participating Employers or their employees that the Trustees had increased AIMS's fees, that the Participating Employers' monthly contributions did not cover the increased fees, or that DeWalt and Bakie authorized taking money from the Trust's reserves to pay AIMS's increased fees.

Second Fee Increase

46. Trustees DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis increased AIMS's fees again—this time from 3.5% of premiums to 4% of premiums—by signing an "Associated Employers Health and Welfare Trust Resolution" dated December 30, 2010.

Although the resolution did not purport to apply retroactively, the Trustees applied

5

7

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

it retroactively, causing the Trust to make payments to AIMS, after the date of the resolution, as if the increased 4% fee were retroactive to January 1, 2010.

- 47. As employees and officers of AIMS and as officers of the Association, DeWalt and Bakie had an interest in the amount of fees AIMS received from the Trust. In 2010, fees received from the Trust were approximately 40% of AIMS's total revenues, and in 2011, fees from the Trust were approximately 38% of AIMS's total revenues. By retaining and paying AIMS, acting to increase AIMS's fees from 3.5% to 4% of premiums, and causing AIMS to be paid based on the increased fee percentage, DeWalt and Bakie dealt with the assets of the Plans in their own interest.
- 48. By retaining and paying AIMS, acting to increase AIMS's fees from 3.5% to 4% of premiums, and causing AIMS to be paid based on the increased fee percentage, DeWalt and Bakie acted in transactions involving the Plans on behalf of a party (AIMS) whose interest was adverse to the interests of the Plans and their participants and beneficiaries, whose interest was having the Trust pay lower fees for AIMS's services.
- 49. DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis also increased AIMS's fees from 3.5% to 4% in violation of the Trust Agreement because the requisite number of Trustees did not approve the increase, in violation of the Trust Agreement. DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis therefore failed to act in accordance with the documents and instruments

governing the Plans, and they caused AIMS to receive payments based upon an approval that violated the Trust Agreement's provisions.

- 50. DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis increased AIMS's fees from 3.5% to 4% and caused AIMS to be paid based on the higher fee percentage, without: engaging in any investigation to determine whether the increased fee percentage or the amounts to be paid to AIMS were reasonable; engaging in any investigation to determine whether there were other providers who could provide the services at a lower cost; issuing a RFP or engaging in any bid process for the services AIMS provided; seeking advice from a consultant or other expert about the reasonableness of AIMS's fees or alternative providers who could provide the services at a lower cost.
- 51. Following the increase in AIMS's fees to 4% of premiums, the monthly bills sent to Participating Employers for their contributions to the Trust continued to include only a 2% fee for AIMS. To pay AIMS the increased 4% fee, Trustees DeWalt and Bakie caused money to be taken from the Plans' reserve funds in the Trust to pay AIMS the fees that were in excess of the 2% included in the monthly bills. Fallis knew that DeWalt and Bakie caused the money to be taken from the Trust's reserves, and he did not make reasonable efforts to prevent it.

52. The Trustees did not disclose to Participating Employers or their employees that the Trustees had increased AIMS's fees, that the Participating Employers' monthly bills and contributions did not cover the increased fees, or that DeWalt and Bakie authorized taking money from the Trust's reserves to pay the increased fees.

Third and Fourth Fee Increases

- 53. Trustees DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis signed an "Associated Employers Health and Welfare Trust Resolution" dated December 31, 2011, by which they purported to increase AIMS's fee to 5¼ % for up to three years, retroactive to July 1, 2011. However, in another "Associated Employers Health and Welfare Trust Resolution," dated the same day, December 31, 2011, Trustees DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton approved an increase of AIMS's fees to 7% of premiums for up to three years, retroactive to January 1, 2011. Thereafter, the Trust made payments to AIMS based upon the increased 7% fee, applied retroactively to January 1, 2011, through at least the year 2014.
- 54. As employees and officers of AIMS and as officers of the Association, DeWalt and Bakie had an interest in the amount of fees AIMS received from the Trust. In 2010, fees received from the Trust were approximately 40% of AIMS's total revenues. In 2011, fees from the Trust were approximately 38% of AIMS's total revenues. In 2012, fees from the Trust again were

Complaint - 21

approximately 38% of AIMS's total revenues. By 2013, fees from the Trust were approximately 50% of AIMS's total revenues. By retaining and paying AIMS and acting to increase AIMS's fees from 4% to 7% of premiums and causing AIMS to be paid based on the increased fee percentage, DeWalt and Bakie dealt with the assets of the Plans in their own interest.

- 55. By retaining and paying AIMS and acting to increase AIMS's fees from 4% to 7% of premiums and causing AIMS to be paid based on the increased fee percentage, DeWalt and Bakie acted in transactions involving the Plans on behalf of a party (AIMS) whose interest was adverse to the interests of the Plans and their participants and beneficiaries, whose interest was having the Trust pay lower fees for AIMS's services.
- 56. DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton increased AIMS's fees to 7% of premiums and caused AIMS to be paid based on the higher fee percentage, without: engaging in any investigation to determine whether the increased fee percentage or the amounts to be paid to AIMS were reasonable; engaging in any investigation to determine whether there were other providers who could provide the services at a lower cost; issuing a RFP or engaging in any bid process for the services AIMS provided; seeking advice from a consultant or other expert about the reasonableness of AIMS's fees or alternative providers who could provide the services at a lower cost

Complaint - 22

- 57. Following the December 2011 increase in AIMS's fees to 7% of premiums until 2013, the monthly bills sent to Participating Employers for their contributions to the Trust continued to include only a 2% fee for AIMS. Starting in 2013, the monthly bills sent to Participating Employers for their premium payments to the Trust included a 4% fee for AIMS, although the bills did not itemize AIMS's fees or the amount of the increase. To pay AIMS the difference between the amounts included in the monthly premium bills (2% or 4%) and the increased 7% fee, Trustees DeWalt and Bakie caused money to be taken from the Trust's reserve funds. Fallis and Barton knew that DeWalt and Bakie caused the money to be taken from the Trust's reserves, and Fallis and Barton did not make reasonable efforts to prevent it.
- 58. The Trustees did not disclose to Participating Employers or their employees that the Trustees had increased AIMS's fees, that the Participating Employers' monthly contributions did not cover the increased fees, or that DeWalt and Bakie authorized the use of money from the Trust's reserves to pay the increased AIMS fees.

Total Increased Payments to AIMS

59. From June 28, 2010, through February 2014, as a result of the Trustees' approvals of fee increases to AIMS and payments made pursuant to those approvals, the Trust paid AIMS over \$3 million more for AIMS's services than

 AIMS would have received if the Trustees had not increased AIMS's 2% (of premiums) fee rate.

- 60. From June 28, 2010, through February 2014, DeWalt and/or Bakie caused the Trust to pay, from the Trust's reserves, approximately \$2,578,136 to AIMS for administrative fees. In 2014, DeWalt caused the Trust to transfer a bond, valued at \$70,341, directly from a Trust account to AIMS as payment on administrative fees accrued by AIMS.
- 61. Making the payments to AIMS from the Trust's reserve funds allowed the Trustees to conceal the increased payments to AIMS and to pay AIMS increased fees without corresponding increases in the Participating Employers' monthly contributions. Each of the Trustees, during the periods they served as Trustee, knew that payments to AIMS were made from the Trust's reserve funds. The Trustees did not disclose to Participating Employers or their employees that AIMS's fees had been increased, that their monthly contributions did not cover the increased fees, or that payments to AIMS were made from the Trust's reserves.
- 62. With respect to the Trustees approvals of the first, second, and fourth fee increase, which increased AIMS's fees progressively from 2% to 3.5% to 4% to 7% of premiums, respectively, in a two-year period, the payments made pursuant to those approvals, the removal of money from the Trust's reserves to pay AIMS, and the failures to disclose material facts to the Participating Employers and their

employees about the payments to AIMS, Defendants DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton, acting as Trustees, each:

- a. participated knowingly in the acts or omissions of another
 fiduciary, knowing such action was a breach of fiduciary duty;
- b. by his failure to comply with his own fiduciary duties, enabled another fiduciary to commit a breach of fiduciary duty; and
- c. had knowledge of a fiduciary breach by another fiduciary, and failed to make reasonable efforts under the circumstances to remedy the breach.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Fiduciary Duties By DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, Barton, and the Association)

- 63. The Secretary hereby incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 62 as if set forth anew.
- 64. By their actions, omissions and failures described above, DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton:
 - (a) failed to discharge their duties with respect to the Plans solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plans and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits and defraying reasonable expenses of plan administration, in violation of ERISA section 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(A);

(b) failed to act with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the
	circumstances then prevailing that a prudent man acting in a like
	capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of ar
	enterprise of a like character and with like aims, in violation of
	ERISA section 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B);

- (c) caused the Plans, through the Trust, to engage in transactions with AIMS, when they knew or should have known that such transactions constituted the furnishing of services between the Plans and AIMS, a party in interest, in violation of ERISA section 406(a)(1)(C), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(a)(1)(C); and
- (d) caused the Plans, through the Trust, to engage in transactions that they knew or should have known constituted transfers of Plans' assets to, or for the benefit of AIMS, a party in interest, in violation of ERISA section 406(a)(1)(D); 29 U.S.C. § 1106(a)(1)(D).
- 65. By the actions, omissions and failures described above, DeWalt, Bakie, and Fallis failed to act in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the Plans insofar as such documents and instruments are consistent with ERISA, in violation of ERISA section 404(a)(1)(D), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(D).
- 66. Furthermore, under ERISA section 405(a)(1), (2), and (3), 29 U.S.C. § 1105(a)(1),(2), and (3), DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton, during the time each Complaint 25

served as a Trustee, are each liable for the fiduciary breaches by the others because each:

- (a) knowingly participated in or concealed acts or omissions of the other, knowing that such acts or omissions were fiduciary breaches;
- (b) enabled the other fiduciaries to commit fiduciary breaches through their own fiduciary breaches of ERISA section 404(a)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1); and
- (c) had knowledge of the other's fiduciary breaches and did not make reasonable efforts under the circumstances to remedy those breaches.
- 67. The Association, which had authority to appoint and remove Trustees, failed to properly monitor their actions. By failing to monitor the Trustees' actions, and by other acts set forth herein, the Association:
 - (a) failed to discharge its duties with respect to the Plans solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits and defraying reasonable expenses of plan administration, in violation of ERISA section 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(A);
 - (b) failed to act with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an

enterprise of a like character and with like aims, in violation of ERISA section 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B);

- (c) is liable for the fiduciary breaches of the Trustees under ERISA section 405(a)(1), (2), and (3), 29 U.S.C. § 1105(a)(1). (2), and (3), because it:
 - i. knowingly participated in or concealed the acts or omissions of the Trustees, knowing that such acts or omissions were breaches;
 - ii. enabled the Trustees to commit breaches, by breaching its own fiduciary duties under ERISA section 404(a)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1); and
 - iii. had knowledge of the fiduciary breaches of the Trustees and did not make reasonable efforts under the circumstances to remedy them.
- 68. As a result of their fiduciary breaches and actions described herein, DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, Barton, and the Association caused the Plans to suffer financial losses for which they are jointly and severally liable pursuant to ERISA section 409(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1109(a).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Prohibited Self-Dealing by DeWalt and Bakie)

- 69. The Secretary hereby incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 68 as if set forth anew.
- 70. By retaining and paying AIMS, approving the increases in AIMS's fees, authorizing the Trust's fee payments remitted to AIMS, causing the Trust to pay AIMS from the reserve funds held by the Trust, and other acts set forth herein, DeWalt and Bakie:
 - (a) dealt with assets of the Plans in their own interest or for their own account, in violation of ERISA section 406(b)(1), 29 U.S.C.§ 1106(b)(1); and
 - (b) acted in transactions involving the Plans on behalf of a party (AIMS), which had interests adverse to the Plans or the interests of participants or beneficiary of the Plans, in violation of ERISA section 406(b)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1106(b)(2).
- 71. As a result of their fiduciary self-dealing in violation of ERISA sections 406(b)(1) and (2), 29 U.S.C. §§ 116(b)(1) and (2), DeWalt and Bakie caused the Plans to suffer financial losses for which DeWalt and Bakie are jointly and severally liable pursuant to ERISA section 409(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1109(a).

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AIMS's Knowing Participation in Fiduciary Breaches)

- 72. The Secretary hereby incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 71 as if set forth anew herein.
- Association's fiduciary breaches described herein. AIMS knowingly participated in the Trustees' breaches of fiduciary duty by receiving the increases in its fee payments from the Trust, participating in and assisting the Trustees in withholding from the Trust's Participating Employers and employees information about the increases in AIMS's fees, sending monthly premium statements to Participating Employers without including the full amount of AIMS's fees, and receiving payments from reserve funds held by the Trust for those increased fees. AIMS thereby knowingly participated in the Trustees' ERISA violations and under ERISA section 502(a)(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(5), is liable for appropriate equitable relief to redress its knowing participation in the Trustees' ERISA violations.

< The remainder of page intentionally left blank.>

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Secretary prays that this Court enter a final order (a) holding DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, Barton, and the Association jointly and severally liable to restore to the Trust all losses suffered as a result of the ERISA violations describe herein, plus prejudgment interest and post judgment interest; (b) requiring AIMS to disgorge its fees received as a result of its knowing participation in the ERISA breaches described herein, plus prejudgment and post judgment interest; (c) enjoining DeWalt, Bakie, Fallis, and Barton from acting as fiduciaries or service providers to any ERISA-covered employee benefit plan; (d) appointing an Independent Fiduciary to hire and determine the compensation for the Trust's

< The remainder of page intentionally left blank.>

1	service providers; (e) compel	lling an accounting of the Trust's assets; and (f)					
2	providing for such other relief as may be equitable just, and proper						
3	providing for such other relief as may be equitable, just, and proper.						
4							
5	D.4. 1. E.1 24. 2017	D					
6	Dated: February 24, 2017	Respectfully submitted,					
7		Nicholas C. Geale					
		Acting Solicitor of Labor					
8		G. William Scott					
9		Associate Solicitor					
10		Plan Benefit Security Division					
11							
12		Robert L. Furst					
1 2		Counsel for Litigation					
13		Plan Benefit Security Division					
14							
15		s/Peter B.Dolan					
16		Peter B. Dolan					
17		Senior Trial Attorney					
		Eirik J. Cheverud					
18		Trial Attorney					
19		Marc Sarata					
20		Trial Attorney Plan Benefits Security Division					
21		U.S. Department of Labor					
		Office of the Solicitor					
22		P.O. Box 1914					
23		Washington, D.C. 20013					
24		Telephone: (202) 693-5612					
25		Facsimile: (202) 693-5610					
26							

Case 2:17-cv-00082 TOP Pocument 1 Filed 02/24/17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS James DeWalt, Robe	DEFENDANTS James DeWalt, Robert Bakie, Jack L. Fallis, Jr., Jeffrey A. Barton, Associated			
Edward C. Hugler, Acting				Industries Management Services, Inc., The Associated Industries of the Inland Northwest, The Associated Employers Health and Welfare Trust			
United States Department(b) County of Residence or			·		Spokane		
•	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)	County of Residence	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C			
,		,	NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Peter Dolan, Marc Sarata U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office	a, Eirik Cheverud	r)	Attorneys (If Known)				
P.O. Box 1914, Washing	,	93-5612					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij		
≯ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)		1	incipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of Su			
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	☐ 751 Family and Medical	5 803 K31 (403(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	Leave Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation		☐ 893 Environmental Matters☐ 895 Freedom of Information		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	★ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act 3 896 Arbitration		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General		20 030 7007	950 Constitutionality of		
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application	1	State Statutes		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions				
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition	Actions				
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of					
		Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		D 116 514	D: 1	T C M ICT o	TO MINITAL		
	te Court	Appellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer			
THE CATHOD OF A CONT.	I Employee Retirer	tute under which you are fi nent Income Security	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. §§	utes unless diversity): § 1001 et seq.			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Drief description of ca		ions, and knowing partici	pation in same			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ losses in am	ount to b€HECK YES only active reliefury DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 02/24/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD	-	-		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER,	
Acting Secretary of Labor,	
United States Department of Labor	
Plaintiff(s)	
v.	Civil Action No.
LAMEC DEWALT	
JAMES DEWALT, et al.	
)
)
Defendant(s))
Defendant	,
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (D.f., J., J., J., J., J., J., Associated Employees Health	and Walford Trust
To: (Defendant's name and address) Associated Employers Health 1206 N. Lincoln, Suite 200	rand wenare trust
Spokane, Washington 99201-	2559
1 / 2	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	rik Cheverud
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date	SEAN F. McAVOY, Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if a	ny)			
was re	eceived by me on (date)					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)			
	1		on (d	ate)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place of	of abode with (name)	_	
			_	e age and discretion who res	sides there	,
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			,	who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of	of organization)		
			on (d	ate)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	se			; or
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$f	for services, for a total of \$	0.00)
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this inf	formation is true.			
Date		-	Server's signature			
		-	Printed name and title			
		-	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER, Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor))))
Plaintiff(s))
V.	Civil Action No.
JAMES DEWALT, et al.)))
Defendant(s)))
SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Associated Industries of the 1206 N. Lincoln, Suite 200 Spokane, Washington 9920	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or more whose name and address are: Peter Dolan, Marc Sarata, F. U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office P.O. Box 1914 Washington, DC 20013	
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	
	CLERK OF COURT
Date	SEAN F. McAVOY, Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if a	any)		
was re	eceived by me on (date)				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the in-	dividual at (place)		
	1		on (a	late)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place	of abode with (name)	_
			•	e age and discretion who re	sides there,
	on (date)				
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces			
			on (a	late)	; or
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because	se		; or
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this inf	formation is true		
	r declare under penare	y or perjury that this ini	iornation is true.		
Date		-	Server's signature		
		-	Printed name and title		
			Server's address		_

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER,	
Acting Secretary of Labor,)
United States Department of Labor)
)
Plaintiff(s))
v.	Civil Action No.
AANGG DEWALE 1)
JAMES DEWALT, et al.)
)
)
Defendant(s))
Dejendam(s)	,
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Associated Industries Mana	gement Services Inc
1206 N. Lincoln, Suite 200	gement services, inc.
Spokane, Washington 9920	1-2559
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi	Cirik Cheverud
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date	SEAN F. McAVOY, Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if a	any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the in-	dividual at (place)			
			on (d	late)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place of	of abode with (name)		
			, a person of suitable	e age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individu	al's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who	is
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces	ss on behalf of (name of	of organization)		
			on (d	late)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	se		; (or
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ f	For services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this inf	Formation is true.			
Date			Server's signature			
		-	Printed name and title			_
			Server's address			_

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER, Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor))))						
Plaintiff(s)							
V.	Civil Action No.						
JAMES DEWALT, et al.)						
)						
)						
Defendant(s))						
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address) Robert Bakie 1001 E. Brentwood Drive Spokane, Washington 9920	08						
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an ar the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or mot whose name and address are: Peter Dolan, Marc Sarata, E U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office P.O. Box 1914 Washington, DC 20013	Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Peter Dolan, Marc Sarata, Eirik Cheverud U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office of the Solicitor P.O. Box 1914 Washington, DC 20013 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.						
Date	SEAN F. McAVOY, Clerk						

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if a	ny)			
was re	eceived by me on (date)					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)			
	1		on (d	ate)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place of	of abode with (name)	_	
			_	e age and discretion who res	sides there	,
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			,	who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of	of organization)		
			on (d	ate)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	se			; or
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$f	for services, for a total of \$	0.00)
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this inf	formation is true.			
Date		-	Server's signature			
		-	Printed name and title			
		-	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER, Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor))))
Plaintiff(s)	
v.	Civil Action No.
JAMES DEWALT, et al.)
01.11.22 22 W.121, 00 W.)
)
Defendant	
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Jeffrey A. Barton 5105 North Vista Court Spokane, Washington 99212	2
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an an the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motive whose name and address are: Peter Dolan, Marc Sarata, Eiger U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office of P.O. Box 1914 Washington, DC 20013 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be	rik Cheverud
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	,
	CLERK OF COURT
Date	SEAN F McAVOY Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if a	ny)				
was re	eceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)					
	1		on (d	ate)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides						
			copy to the individu	al's last known address; or			
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)				, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of	of organization)			
		on (date)			; or		
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	se			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ f	or services, for a total of \$	0.00)	
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date		-	Server's signature				
		-	Printed name and title				
		-	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER, Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor))))
Plaintiff(s) V.	Civil Action No.
JAMES DEWALT, et al.)
Defendant(s)))
SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) James DeWalt 919 East 18th Avenue Spokane, Washington	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	n you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you ficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of otion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office P.O. 1914	e of the Solicitor
Washington, DC 20013 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date	SEAN F. McAVOY, Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if a	ny)				
was re	eceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)					
	1		on (d	ate)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides						
			copy to the individu	al's last known address; or			
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)				, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of	of organization)			
		on (date)			; or		
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	se			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ f	or services, for a total of \$	0.00)	
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date		-	Server's signature				
		-	Printed name and title				
		-	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington

EDWARD C. HUGLER, Acting Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor)))
Plaintiff(s)	
v.	Civil Action No.
JAMES DEWALT, et al.)
,)
)
Defendant(s)	
Defendani(s))
SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION
The Control of the Co	
To: (Defendant's name and address) Jack L. Fallis 7306 South Hatch Road	
Spokane, Washington 9922	24
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Triawbart has been fried against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or more	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you ficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Peter Dolan, Marc Sarata, F	Firik Cheverud
U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office	
P.O. Box 1914	
Washington, DC 20013	
	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	
	CLERK OF COURT
	CLERK OF COURT
Date	SEANE McAVOY Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if a	any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the in-	dividual at (place)				
			on (date)			; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place	of abode with (name)			
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date)						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)				, who is	
	designated by law to a	accept service of proces	ss on behalf of (name	e of organization)			
			on	(date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because	se			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0	00 00	
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: