knowledge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

v.

DECLARATION OF STEVEN S. BRAUN - 1

HOWARD F. DELANEY, City Attorney OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 5th Floor Municipal Building Spokane, WA 99201-3326 (509) 625-6225 FAX (509) 625-6277

- 2. I am employed as a police officer for the City of Spokane Police Department (SPD). I currently hold the rank of Senior Police Officer.
  - 3. I am a defendant in this lawsuit.
- 4. On March 18, 2006 I was on patrol duty when I was dispatched to check out a suspicious person at an ATM machine at a bank near downtown Spokane. I was several miles away, and as I proceeded toward the location I received significant information about the nature of the suspicious person activity, and as I got closer to the scene I received information that led me to believe that the complainant/victims believed that the individual had robbed them at the ATM machine, and that they were following the individual in their car as he walked and, at times, ran from the bank.
- 5. During my drive toward the bank the dispatchers dispatched a backup officer to the call as potential danger on the call increased. Also, a third officer, Karl Thompson, was apparently nearby, and drove toward the activity.
- 6. Before I could find the suspect, Officer Thompson located the suspect and said over the air that the suspect had entered a ZipTrip store on Division Street. I was just a short distance away and immediately went to the ZipTrip store. I did not see them at first, but when I located them it was obvious that: (a) Officer Thompson was struggling mightily to obtain control of the suspect, and that (b) they had been struggling for quite a while, as I saw TASER wire on the floor and other objects spilled nearby.

- 7. I immediately assisted Officer Thompson in trying to get control of the suspect. The suspect was extraordinarily strong, and was screaming like an animal. I used several different tactics to try to get the suspect under control, none of which worked. At various times I used my TASER, the stun drive on the TASER, my baton, as well as "hands on" techniques. Together, both Officer Thompson and I were becoming physically exhausted in our efforts to control the individual, and the suspect was not close to becoming under control and seemed to have extraordinary endurance as well as strength. At one point, both Officer Thompson and I used our radios to request immediate backup assistance due to our inability to control the suspect.
- 8. After a while several officers arrived and they relieved Officer Thompson and I of our efforts to gain control of the suspect.
- 9. The backup officers were finally able to handcuff the suspect, later identified as Otto Zehm. The suspect continued to scream, thrash about, and resist all efforts to calm down and keep him under control. The officers ultimately placed him in leg restraints and hobbled him.
- 10. Since Mr. Zehm had been TASERed, through standard procedure, we called for and waited for a fire truck with paramedics to remove the TASER barbs from Mr. Zehm and evaluate his medical condition. After the paramedics had arrived, and while we were waiting for an ambulance to take Mr. Zehm to the hospital for further evaluation, he apparently stopped breathing and his heart

were unable to resuscitate him.

11. Mr. Zehm was then transported by ambulance to a local hospital. I was so concerned about his extraordinary strength and endurance that I followed the ambulance in my patrol car in case he regained consciousness and began

stopped beating. He was given emergency treatment by the paramedics, but they

attacking the medical personnel and police officer that were in the ambulance.

- 12. Mr. Zehm died two days later. After a short period of time it became obvious in the news media that Mr. Zehm's next of kin were threatening to sue the City, and probably the officers that were at the scene. Since I was one of the officers who had attempted to gain custody of him, I knew that if a suit were filed I would likely be one of the people they would sue, even though I knew I had done nothing wrong.
- 13. During the past 3-1/2 years the City Attorney's Office has been in touch with me with respect to the potential that a claim would be filed against me, and, once a claim was filed, they worked with me to ensure that I was properly represented by legal counsel from the City Attorney's Office.
- 14. It has always been my understanding that the City Attorney's Office acted as my personal legal representative in all matters involving this incident. I have conferred on several occasions with the City Attorney's Office since this incident, and have always considered my discussions with the City Attorney's Office to be within the attorney/client privilege.

- 15. I have been subpoenaed to testify before a federal Grand Jury that had been investigating this matter. I conferred with the City Attorney's Office about the subpoena and the Grand Jury process, within the attorney/client privilege.
- 16. All of my testimony before the Grand Jury has been truthful and under oath.
- 17. During my Grand Jury testimony I was asked by one of the prosecutors about what I reviewed with my attorney in my attorney's office. The prosecutor also asked me about other matters I might have reviewed with my attorney. He asked several questions about how many times I met with my lawyer, and where, etc.
- 18. I have never been informed by the Police Chief's Office that I was not allowed to discuss either the terms of the subpoena regarding the Grand Jury or any other aspect of this case with the City Attorney's Office. To the contrary, it has been made clear to me by both the police department on the City Attorney's Office that the City Attorney's Office is my legal representative in this matter.
- 19. I object to a stay of this matter. I want to gather all facts necessary to defend myself and to bring a motion to dismiss. I want to get my name cleared from the allegations alleged against me in this lawsuit.

DATED this  $\frac{18}{9}$  day of September, 2009.

STEVEN S. BRAUN

DECLARATION OF STEVEN S. BRAUN - 5

HOWARD F. DELANEY, City Attorney OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 5th Floor Municipal Building Spokane, WA 99201-3326 (509) 625-6225 FAX (509) 625-6277

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing "Declaration of Steven S. Braun" with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Breean L. Beggs

breean@cforjustice.org

Jeffry Finer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

jfiner@cforjustice.org

10 | Center for Justice

35 West Main, Suite 300

Spokane, WA 99201

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Carl J. Oreskovich

carl@ettermcmahon.com

Etter, McMahon, Lamberson, Clary & Oreskovich, P.C.

Bank of Whitman, Suite 210

618 West Riverside Avenue

Spokane, WA 99201

Attorneys for Karl Thompson

Timothy M. Durkin

Tim.Durkin@USDOJ.gov

Assistant United States Attorney

Eastern District of Washington

Post Office Box 1494

Spokane, WA 99210-1494

Attorneys for United States

23

2 1

25

26

27

28

s/Doris Stragier Doris Stragier Office of the City Attorney 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. 5th Floor, Municipal Building Spokane, WA 99201-3326

DECLARATION OF STEVEN S. BRAUN - 6

HOWARD F. DELANEY, City Attorney OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Municipal Building Spokane, WA 99201-3326 (509) 625-6225 FAX (509) 625-6277