• I have a question of fairness and reasonable treatment of citizens about all this: can anyone answer why, as of this morning, Charlie Nipp has still had ZERO communication from the Attorney General's office or the County Prosecutor's Office but the copy of the letter is on DanG and Mary Souza's website?

Charlie has not been contacted by anyone official, not been informed by anyone official, not had information shared with him by anyone official. He heard about this from the Coeur d'

Alene Press AFTER the letter appeared on DanG and Souza's website. I know this because I called Mr. Nipp myself this morning and asked him if anyone has talked with him.

Here's my question - who gave DanG and Mary Souza a letter about Charlie Nipp when Charlie Nipp himself has not been communicated with by ANYONE officially from the AG's office or the county prosecutor's office?

Is there someone, say in the legislature, perhaps, who is sharing information with third parties and the media without communicating directly with the person targeted?

Is that fair to citizens who have been accused of wrongdoing?

note: You can't spell DanG's last name on here because apparently the SR software thinks it's a slur of some sort.

Mike Kennedy

